



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,131	11/07/2005	Hans-Jurgen Wehner	GOTZF 146 US	4967
2555 7590 03/12/2009 KREMBLAS, FOSTER, PHILLIPS & POLLOCK 7632 SLATE RIDGE BOULEVARD REYNOLDSBURG, OH 43068			EXAMINER KIM, JOHN K	
			ART UNIT 2834	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 03/12/2009	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

officeactions@ohiopatent.com
officeactions2@ohiopatent.com
officeactions3@ohiopatent.com

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/531,131	Applicant(s) WEHNER ET AL.	
	Examiner JOHN K. KIM	Art Unit 2834	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JOHN K. KIM. (3) Frank H. Foster (Reg.24560) .

(2) Nguyen Hanh. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 05 March 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 15.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The attorney argues two issues: (1) integral cooling passage and (2) cathodic coating on motor cooling passage are not taught in cited prior arts. Re (1), the examiner disagrees the argument as disclosure and claim do not define the integral cooling passage in one solid integral body. Re (2), the examiner disagrees the argument as cited prior arts are obvious to combine for the teaching.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Nguyen N Hanh/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2834	March 5, 2009
--	---------------